PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D	1 9 OCT	2005
WIPO		PCT
	•	

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY see form PCT/ISA/220 (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below see form PCT/ISA/220 Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 08.01.2004 07.01.2005 PCT/JP2005/000431 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C05F7/02, C08K3/22, H01B3/00, C09K5/08

1.	This opinion cou	ntains indications relating to the following items:
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the opinion
	☐ Box No. II	Priority
	⊠ Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
	☑ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
2.	FURTHER ACTI	ON

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the

International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 3.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



Applicant

SHOWA DENKO K.K.

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Schut, R

Authorized Officer

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3293



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2005/000431

_	Box No	o. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With re	gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	lar (ur	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search address 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With re	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and eary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. form	nat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	h	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as oppropriate, were furnished.
	4. Addit	ional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2005/000431

Box app	No. III Non-establishment of licability	f opir	nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial
The	questions whether the claimed i lous), or to be industrially applica	nvent able h	tion appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non lave not been examined in respect of:
	the entire international application	on,	
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 21-38		
bec	ause:		
	the said international application does not require an international	n, or t al pre	the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which liminary examination (specify):
	the description, claims or drawi unclear that no meaningful opir	ngs (i	indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so sould be formed (specify):
	the claims, or said claims Nos. could be formed.	are s	to inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion
\boxtimes	no international search report h	nas be	een established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 21-38
	the nucleotide and/or amino ac C of the Administrative Instruct	id sec tions	quence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex in that:
	the written form		has not been furnished
			does not comply with the standard
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished
			does not comply with the standard
	the tables related to the nucleonot comply with the technical i	otide : requir	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	See separate sheet for further	· deta	ils ·

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2005/000431

D.	ox No. IV	Lack of unity of in	vention		
				T/ISA/206)	to pay additional fees, the applicant has:
1. 🛭				•	
		paid additional fees.			
		paid additional fees u	inder prot	est.	
	\boxtimes	not paid additional fe	es.		
2. 🗆	the ap	plicant to pay addition	ai tees.		y of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite
3. T	his Autho	rity considers that the	requireme	ent of unity	y of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3
		•			
	complie	ed with			
\S	not con	nplied with for the follo	wing reas	ions:	
		eparate sheet			
4. (Conseque	ntly, this report has be	en establ	ished in re	espect of the following parts of the international application:
	all part	S.			
D	☑ the par	ts relating to claims N	os. 1-20		·
_		_			
 	Box No. \industria	/ Reasoned staten	nent unde	er Rule 43 xplanation	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or no supporting such statement
1.	Statemen	t	·		
	Noveity (i	(1)	Yes:	Claims	6-9,12-14,16-20
	NOVORY (•7	No:	Claims	1-5,10,11,15
	Inventive	step (IS)	Yes:	Claims	·
	1114 0116.11		No:	Claims	1-20
	Industrial	applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-20
2.	Citations	and explanations			

see separate sheet

Re Item IV Lack of unity of invention

1)The separate inventions are:

1a)Claims 1-20

The first invention solves the problem of providing an alternative inorganic powder, which is suitable as thermally conductive filler in resin compositions and allows high loading of the resin composition with the filler without causing the viscosity to increase too much.

This problem is solved by the inorganic powder as defined in claims 1-20.

1b)Claims 21-38

The second invention solves the problem of providing an alternative resin composition filled with the inorganic powder defined in claims 1 to 20 having a high thermal conductivity and electrical insulating properties and various products comprising this resin composition.

This problem is solved by the resin composition as defined in claims 21 to 23 and the products comprising this resin composition as defined in claims 24 to 38.

2)Non-unity a posteriori

Motivation of non-unity (Rule 13, PCT).

The problems mentioned above are solved by the two inventions as defined above.

2a) For unity a common concept, which is novel as well as inventive and linking the two inventions as defined above, must exist.

2a1)The common concept linking the two inventions above is the inorganic powder as defined in claim 1 having a frequency-size distribution with multiple peaks, wherein the peaks are present at least in the particle size regions from 0.2 to 2 micrometer and from 2 to 63 micrometer.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/JP2005/000431

2b1)EP-A-276321 (see D1; examples 12 to 17) discloses mixtures of an alumina powder with an average particle size falling within the interval 0.2 to 2 micrometer and an alumina powder with an average particle size falling within the interval 2 to 63 micrometer. This mixture is incorporated in resin compositions with the objective of obtaining a high thermal conductivity.

2b2)US-A-6284829, D2 (see D2;claim 9) discloses a filler comprising an inorganic powder with an average particle size of 10 to 40 micrometer and an inorganic powder with an average particle size of 0.1 to 0.5 micrometer. The filler is incorporated in resin compositions with the objective of obtaining a high thermal conductivity.

2b3)EP-A-361109, D3 (see D3;examples 4 and 5 and claim 1) discloses mixtures of a silica powder with an average particle size falling within the interval of 2 to 63 micrometer and a silica powder with an average particle size falling within the interval 0.2 to 2 micrometer.

2c)The common concept linking the two inventions as defined above is therefore known from D1, D2 as well as D3.

2d) No other common concept based upon the technical features of the set of claims appears to exist, which would overcome the non-unity objections made above.

2e)The present application does therefore not satisfy the requirements of Rule 13 PCT as non-unity a posteriori as defined above has been noted to exist.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP-A-0 276 321 (SHOWA DENKO KABUSHIKI KAISHA) 3 August 1988

D2: US-B1-6 284 829 (B. DALBE ET AL.) 4 September 2001

D3: EP-A-0 361 109 (MITSUBISHI KASEI CORPORATION) 4 April 1990

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/JP2005/000431

D4: EP-A-0 342 141 (INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION) 15 November 1989

D5: EP-A-0 265 839 (CALP CORPORATION) 4 May 1988

D6: EP-A-0 469 257 (VEREINIGTE ALUMINIUM-WERKE AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT) 5 February 1992

D7: EP-A-0 499 585 (CIBA-GEIGY AG) 19 August 1992

D8: US-B1-6 210 520 (J.L. OSUNA ET AL.) 3 April 2001

1)Novelty

Independent product claim 1

1a)D1 (see D1; examples 12-17), D2 (see D2; claim 9) and D3 (see D3; examples 4 and 5 and claim 1) disclose inorganic powders having a frequency-size distribution with multiple peaks, wherein the peaks are present in the particle size region from 0.2 to 2 μ m and from 2 to 63 μ m.

The subject matter of independent product claim 1 is not novel in view of D1, D2 as well as D3 (Art. 33(2) PCT).

Dependent claims

1b1)The subject matter of dependent claims 2, is not novel in view of D1 (see D1;comparative example 17, Tables 11 and 13).

1b2) The subject matter of dependent claim 3 is not novel in view of D1 (see D1; examples 12-16, tables 7, 8, 11 and 13).

1b3)The subject matter of dependent claim 4 is not novel in view of D1 (see D1; examples 12-16).

1b4) The subject of dependent claim 5 is not novel in view of D3 (see D3; examples 4 and 5).

1b5)The subject matter of dependent claims 10,11 and 15 is not novel in view of D1 (see D1;tables 5, 7 and 11).

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING **AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)**

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/000431

1b6) The subject matter of dependent claims 6-9, 12-14 and 16-20 is novel in view of the cited prior art documents D1 to D8.

2) Inventive step.

2a) The technical features of dependent claims 6-9, 12-14 and 16-20 are either known from the prior art documents D1 to D8 or appear to be design options obvious for a man skilled in the art (Art.33(3) PCT).